

# Local Work Group Development of Local EQIP.

## TRAVERSE District FY08 EQIP

### 1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Wind Erosion, Water Quality, Wildlife Habitat, Flooding, Water Erosion, Feedlot Runoff

### 2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Impaired watersheds (MPCA and NRCS Identified) - Turbidity

### 3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

- Improve Water Quality in Impaired Watersheds
- Feedlot Runoff Control
- Reduce Wind Erosion
- Structural Erosion Control Practices with Buffers

### 4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

1. Will the application improve water quality in the MPCA or NRCS identified impaired watersheds (see map below)?

2. Will the application fix an existing feedlot runoff problem or result in a CNMP being completed for a livestock operation?

3. Will a structural erosion control practice be installed on an area with an existing buffer?

4. Will the application reduce wind erosion on fields with a soil erodibility index (I) of 86 or greater?

### 5. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.

15 POINTS 1. Will the application improve water quality in the MPCA or NRCS identified impaired watersheds (see map below)?

12 POINTS 2. Will the application fix an existing feedlot runoff problem or result in a CNMP being completed for a livestock operation?

10 POINTS 3. Will a structural erosion control practice be installed on an area with an existing buffer?

5 POINTS 4. Will the application reduce wind erosion on fields with a soil erodibility index (I) of 86 or greater?

6. Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.

7. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed. This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group Date

Keith Kloubec, District Conservationist 10/10/2007

