

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Kandiyohi SWCD FY08 EQIP

**1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:**

Water resource protection

Soil erosion

Enduring practice benefits

Wildlife habitat

Grazing

Conservation tillage

Nutrient management

**2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:**

County Ditch 23A, Extension C in the Kandi-Wakanda Watershed

**3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.**

Applications addressing water resource protection

Applications addressing soil erosion

Applications addressing wildlife habitat

Applications in County Ditch 23A, Extension C in the Kandi-Wakanda Watershed

**4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.**

- |   |     |    |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. Will this application address water resource protection in the county, not including conservation tillage? | Yes | No |
| 2. Will this application address soil erosion, not including conservation tillage?                            | Yes | No |
| 3. Will this application install enduring conservation practices?   | Yes | No |
| 4. Will this application improve wildlife habitat?  | Yes | No |
| 5. Will this application reduce soil erosion by implementing a prescribed grazing system?                     | Yes | No |
| 6. Is the land in the targeted priority watershed?  | Yes | No |
| 7. Will this application reduce soil erosion by implementing conservation tillage practices?                  | Yes | No |
| 8. Will this application address water resource protection thru nutrient management?                          | Yes | No |
| 9. Will this application install practices to address a national priority concern within the county?          | Yes | No |

4. **Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions must equal exactly 40 points.**

<u>Resource Concern</u>	<u>Points</u>
1. Water resource protection in the county, other than tillage	13
2. Soil erosion, other than tillage	9
3. Enduring practice benefits	8
4. Improvement to wildlife habitat	8
5. Grazing	5
6. Targeted watershed (bonus points)	7
7. Soil erosion, tillage	5
8. Water resource protection thru nutrient management	3
9. All concerns	<u>1</u>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>59</b>

5. **Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.**

6. **List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document**

None

**The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.**

**This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.**

Rick Reimer  
Chair, Local Work Group

9/25/07  
Date

eqjp07lwgwkshst.doc