

## Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ Chippewa County SWCD \_\_\_\_\_ District FY08 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Soil erosion

Water quality

Wildlife habitat

Agricultural Waste Mgt

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

NONE

3. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

**SOIL EROSION-will a structural measure be installed for soil erosion control? (15 points)**

**SOIL EROSION and WATER QUALITY-will a wildlife habitat practice be installed on this contract, including 380, 645, 657, etc? (15 points)**

**WATER QUALITY-will an agricultural waste management component be installed? (15 points)**

**SOIL EROSION and WATER QUALITY-will a water resource protection practice be installed? (nutrient management, pest management, irrigation water management, etc) (15 points)**

4. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.
  
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document  

NONE
  
6. Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Jean Diggins, Chippewa County SWCD

10/22/07

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date