

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ Anoka Soil and Water Conservation \_\_\_\_\_ District FY08 EQIP

## 1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

### Surface Water:

- Nutrient loading
- Soil runoff
- Bacteria growth

### Groundwater:

- Nitrate contamination and
- Bacteria growth
- Infiltration of pesticides

### Habitat:

- Improve habitat within identified greenway corridors

### Soil Loss:

- Sedimentation of lakes, rivers and wetlands.

## 2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

**Elk River Watershed:** nutrients, sedimentation, manure, riparian habitat, impaired waters (IBI and turbidity), drained wetlands and degraded wetland habitat

**Delineated drinking water supply management areas:** nitrates, pesticides, irrigation management

Rum River watershed  
Sunrise River watershed and  
Adjacent Lake Watersheds:

- |                   |                 |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1. George Lake    | 4. Coon Lake    |
| 2. East Twin Lake | 5. Linwood Lake |
| 3. Martin Lake    |                 |

## 3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

### Prioritize Local Resource Concerns

1. Nutrient loading
2. Soil runoff
3. Nitrate contamination
4. Improve habitat within identified greenway corridors
5. Sedimentation
6. Bacteria growth
7. Infiltration of pesticides

4. **Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.**

	<b>Question:</b>	<b>Points</b>
1.	<u>Soil Erosion:</u> Will the practice reduce sheet and rill erosion < T?	5
2.	<u>Water Quality:</u> Will the practice reduce nutrient loading, sediment loading or manure impacts to surface water?	5
3.	<u>Water Quality:</u> Is the practice located < 100 ft of receiving water (surface water)?	5
4.	<u>Water Quality:</u> Is the practice located 100 to 500 ft of receiving water (surface water)?	3
5.	<u>Habitat:</u> Improve habitat within identified greenway corridor?	5
6.	<u>Habitat:</u> Will the practice improve riparian habitat?	4
7.	<u>Habitat:</u> Will drained or degraded wetlands be addressed?	5
8.	<u>Water Quality:</u> For questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 above, is the practice located in the Rum and Sunrise Watershed?	4
9.	<u>Water Quality:</u> Does practice <i>filter contaminants that may enter adjacent open waterbodies?</i>	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>

5. **Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions must equal exactly 40 points.**

Refer to question 4, column 3.

6. **Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.**

Worksheet submitted to Timothy Wilson; ASTC(FO) of Area 4.

7. **List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document.**

None

**The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.**

**This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 08 EQIP. Below is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.**

Chris Lord	10-15-07
<b>Chair, Local Work Group</b>	<b>Date</b>

**Roster:**

Kim Kovich  
 Sean Sullivan  
 Mary Jo Truchon  
 Vici Nass  
 Chris Lord  
 Kathy Berkness  
 George Montgomery