

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Washington Conservation

District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
  - Grass and Riparian Buffer
  - Well Decommissioning
  - Grassed Waterways
  
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:  
**South Washington Watershed District – Water Quality – High Nitrate Counts Baytown, West Lakeland, and Cottage Grove**
  
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	High	9
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Medium	5
C Habitat Improvement	Medium	7
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	High	10
F Distance	Low	5
G Grazing System	Low	1
H Forest Mgt.	Low	4
I Additional Local*	High	10

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.  
**Document is attached.**
  
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document  
**None of the practices are recommended for deletion from the docket.**  
 The LWG assigned point to the following to items I to address high priority watersheds and high priority practices.

Items	Pts
Grassed Waterways	5
Well Decommissioning	5
Grass and Riparian Buffer	3

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group. Attendants: Gary Baumann, Ann Pung-Teruwed, Melissa Lewis, George E. Montgomery, II

George E. Montgomery, II  
 Chair, Local Work Group

10-04-05  
 Date