

Local Work Group development of local EQIP 2006.

Wadena Soil and Water Conservation District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

1. Water Quality	5. Grazing Systems	9. Recreation
2. Ag Waste Mgmt. Systems	6. Wildlife Habitat	10. Land Conversion
3. Erosion Control	7. Irrigation Management	
4. Forestry Management	8. Pest Management	
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: none
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	8	2
A2 Gully Control	8	2
B1 Water Resource	10	2
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	10	2
C Habitat Improvement	4	6
D Air Quality	1	1
E Impaired Water	10	2
F Distance	1	3
G Grazing System	7	4
H Forest Management	8	5
I Nutrient Management*	10	1

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

*The concern for groundwater resources in the sensitive outwash soils in areas as defined by general soils map from the Wadena County Soil Survey should be allocated 6 points for the nutrient management practice (590). The sensitive soil areas are those areas within general soil associations 1,2,3,4,6,and 7.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document none

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date