

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Stevens Soil and Water Conservation District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Erosion Control, Water Resource, Gully Control, Wastewater CNMP and Impaired Water

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: NA

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	1-High	10
A2 Gully Control	3-Medium	6
B1 Water Resource	2-High	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	4-Medium	4
C Habitat Improvement	6-Low	1
D Air Quality	8-Low	1
E Impaired Water	5-Medium	2
F Distance	7-Low	1
G Grazing System	9-Low	1
H Forest Mgt.	10-Low	1
Additional Local*	High	6 points

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. If landowner places CP-21 filter strips on all eligible drainage ditches, wetlands and streams adjacent to and within fields enrolled in an EQIP contract 6 points would be added to their score.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date