

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Renville County_District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality, Water Quantity, Soil Health, Soil Erosion (wind and water), Streambank Erosion, Odor Control, Wildlife Habitat, Nutrient Management, and Groundwater Quality

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: Le Sueur River watershed
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	High	10
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Medium	8
C Habitat Improvement	Medium	9
D Air Quality	Low	5
E Impaired Water	High	10
F Distance	Low	8
G Grazing System	Medium	8
H Forest Mgt.	Low	2
Additional Local*	Low	3

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Any EQIP application that includes land in the Le Sueur River watershed will receive the additional local points.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Jeff Kjorness
Chair, Local Work Group

10/07/05
Date

Roster of participation in the Local Work Group

1. Byron Hogberg
2. Cliff Willhitte
3. Vicki Madson
4. Tom Gruendman
5. Rick Schmidt
6. Joe Stangle
7. Dean Dambrotten
8. Loren Engelby
9. Jim Schaefer