

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Redwood Soil and Water _____ District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
 - Erosion concerns on cropland (structural and residue)
 - Water quality in all water sources
 - Ground water concerns
 - Wildlife
 - Grazing

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:
 - None

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	1
A2 Gully Control	High	1
Diversion (362)		10
Grassed Waterway		10
WASCB (638)		10
B1 Water Resource	High	1
Nutrient Mgmt (590 non 784)		2
Well Sealing (351)		6
Filter Strip (393)		2
Pest Mgmt (595)		2
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Low	1
C Habitat Improvement	High	6
Wildlife Habitat (380)		6
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Low	1
F Distance	Low	1
G Grazing System	High	5.5
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Applications changing to No-Till, Strip Till or Ridge Till receive 6 points

Applications changing to Mulch Till from Moldboard Plowing receive 6 points

Points only for entire rotation.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document
 - None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date