

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

PIPESTONE District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
 - **EROSION CONTROL**
 - **WASTEWATER/FEEDLOT RUNOFF/CNMP**
 - **SURFACE WATER QUALITY**
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

NO GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS IN THE COUNTY WILL RECEIVE PRIORITY OVER ANOTHER.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	5
A2 Gully Control	H	10
B1 Water Resource	L	4
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	7
C Habitat Improvement	M	5
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	L	4
F Distance	H	8
G Grazing System	M	5
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
Additional Local*	M	6

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. **All practices addressing soil erosion on HEL fields will receive an additional 6 points.** **** All practices that are located within a Drinking Water Supply Management Area will receive an additional 6 points.**

**** Added in 2006 as recommended by LWG.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document **NONE**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

