

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ Kanabec \_\_\_\_\_ District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: **Emphasize practices within 2000 feet of DNR Protected Waters. All practices. Three points for any practice that falls under category B1.**
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: **DNR Protected Waters as described above.**
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	<b>9</b>
A2 Gully Control	High	<b>9</b>
B1 Water Resource	High	<b>9</b>
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Med-High	<b>8</b>
C Habitat Improvement	Med	<b>5</b>
D Air Quality	Low	<b>3</b>
E Impaired Water	Med	<b>7</b>
F Distance	Med	<b>7</b>
G Grazing System	Med	<b>7</b>
H Forest Mgt.	Med	<b>7</b>
Additional Local*	High	<b>10</b>

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. **Points will be scored for local concerns and geographic regions if the specified practices are planned for implementation.**

Practices within 200 feet of a DNR protected water = 6points

All practices under factor B1 receive 3 additional points

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district. **Scoring worksheet as issued by NRCS state office with priority factors added.**
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date