

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ TRVERSE _____ District FY05 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Wind and Water Erosion, over fertilization, ditch bank erosion, feedlot problems, water quality issues from field runoff, wildlife cover.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

County ditch #52 watershed

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	HIGH	10
A2 Gully Control	HIGH	8
B1 Water Resource	HIGH	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	MED	5
C Habitat Improvement	MED	4
D Air Quality	LOW	2
E Impaired Water	MED	5
F Distance	MED	4
G Grazing System	LOW	2
H Forest Mgt.	LOW	1
Additional Local*	MED	5

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Any project in the County Ditch #52 watershed is a priority as well as ditch and stream bank erosion caused side inlets (in most cases a grade stabilization structure will be used to fix the problem)

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document
NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Keith Kloubec- DC	11/29/04
-------------------	----------

Chair, Local Work Group Date