

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ CASS \_\_\_\_\_

District FY05 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
  
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: Leech Lake Watershed, Pine River Watershed, and Gull Lake Watershed.
  
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	2	10
A2 Gully Control	8	8
B1 Water Resource	1	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	5	9
C Habitat Improvement	7	7
D Air Quality	9	7
E Impaired Water	10	5
F Distance	4	10
G Grazing System	3	10
H Forest Mgt.	6	8
Additional Local*		10

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. All conservation practices installed in Leech Lake, Pine River, and Gull lake watershed will qualify for additional local points.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
  
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Dave Harder

11/23/2004

Chair, Local Work Group

Date