

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_Swift County_____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
 - a.) Water Resources-Protection of water from nutrient, chemical and soil runoff and sedimentation.
 - b.) Erosion Control-Water and wind erosion reduction

*Note: The loss of grazing land and wildlife habitat, (such as wetlands), are also notable concerns in Swift County.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

No Geographic regions were singled out for priority treatment.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	2-High	8
A2 Gully Control	6-low	1
B1 Water Resource	1-High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	3-Medium	6
C Habitat Improvement	4-Medium	4
D Air Quality	7-Low	1
E Impaired Water	8-Low	1
F Distance	9-Low	1
G Grazing System	5-Medium	4
H Forest Mgt.	10-Low	1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Roster:

David Soehren, Tom Orr, Tom Anderson, Mark Weimerskirch, Wayne Golden, Clinton Schuerman, Orvin Gronseth, Josh Kavanagh, Dennis Lolt, Cory Drummond.

Cory Drummond (Chair), Local Work Group

Date