

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Nobles County _____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Endangered Species-Topeka Shiner, Feedlot Runoff, High Nutrient Loading (TMDL)
 Well Head Protection, Gully Erosion, Water Quality – Lakes and Streams
 Wetland Restorations

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Leota Twp. HU-10170204-090-021, 090-023, 090-022

Kanaranzi-Little Rock WS HU- 10170204-275-054, 130-005, 130-046

Elk Twp. HU-071000001-080-046, 080-049, 080-050

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	5
A2 Gully Control	H	5
B1 Water Resource	H	4
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	M	3
C Habitat Improvement	M	3
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	M	3
F Distance	M	2
G Grazing System	L	1
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document: NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Roster: Mark Koster, Paul Langseth, Rod Bosma, Vern Suedkamp, Dan Livdahl, Lynn Darling, Jeff Kjorness, Dan Dohls, Dawn Madison, Steve Woltjer, James Krips, Jay Murphy, Jane Steffel, Ron McCarvall, Dave Bau

Chair, Local Work Group

Date