

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Cottonwood County _____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Tillage, Nutrient Management, Ag Waste, Water Quality, Soil Erosion

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Des Moines River Watershed – geographic boundary, Wellhead protection in Cottonwood County for Windom, Jeffers, Red Rock Rural Water System and any other city in county with designated wellhead protection from the MN Dept. of Health.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	8
A2 Gully Control	M	5
B1 Water Resource	H	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	10
C Habitat Improvement	M	5
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	M	5
F Distance	L	3
G Grazing System	L	1
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
Additional Local*	H	10

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

The same map that was used in 2003 will be used for the concern areas listed in 2 above. These areas will receive 10 points.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date