

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

KANDIYOHI Soil and Water Conservation District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water quality, erosion control, wildlife habitat and feedlots.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	High	10
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	High	9
C Habitat Improvement	High	9
D Air Quality	Low	5
E Impaired Water	High	8
F Distance	High	10
G Grazing System	High	8
H Forest Mgt.	High	9
Additional Local*	High	9

- If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.
 - Two local concerns are the need for more conservation tillage and prescribed burns on Rim, CRP, and other native prairie areas. Conservation tillage points will be scored on applications where a change to reduced tillage is made.
4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP.

Richard Reimer

2/25/04

Chair, Local Work Group

Date