

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____Chisago_____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: ***filter strips; riparian buffers; residue management; wetland restoration; tree, shrub and native grass planting; areas within 2000' of DNR protected wetland; Rush Lake, Sunrise and Lawrence Creek watersheds.***
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: ***Rush Lake, Sunrise and Lawrence Creek watersheds. Wildlife habitat and surface water quality are the resource concerns of these watersheds.***
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	Medium	5
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Low	2
C Habitat Improvement	High	10
D Air Quality	Low	2
E Impaired Water	Medium	5
F Distance	High	10
G Grazing System	Medium	5
H Forest Mgt.	High	10
Additional Local*	High	10

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. ***Plans including the following practices: 329a, 391, 393, 528a, and areas within 2000' of a DNR protected water will receive 6 points with a weight of 10.***

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document ***No practices were requested for deletion.***

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date