

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

____ WEST POLK _____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Soil erosion, Water quality, Air quality, Wildlife habitat, Human, Plant,

Living snow fences and Flood control are additional concerns.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Located in the watershed of an impaired water will receive additional weight.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	10
A2 Gully Control	H	10
B1 Water Resource	H	7
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	7
C Habitat Improvement	L	2
D Air Quality	L	2
E Impaired Water	M	4
F Distance	L	2
G Grazing System	M	4
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
I1 Living Snow Fence*	M	4
I2 Flood Control*	M	4
I3 Field Border	M	4

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

A living snow fence (380), field border (386 and 472), or flood control practice (362, 402, 410, 587, 638, 657) are installed, a weight of 4 is multiplied in factor I.

1. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district. Attached.

2. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document None at this time.

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

Roster of participation in the 2004 Local Work Group:

Randy Huelskamp, NRCS Chair

Penny Doty, SWCD

Bruce Nelson, FSA

Terry Wolfe, DNR

Russell Severson, EXT