

Local Work Group Development of Local EQIP.

 X Traverse County _____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Feedlot Improvements, Lake Traverse, Filter Strips, Buffers, Little Minnesota River, West Branch of the Mustinka River to 12 Mile Creek

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Entire County to have equal opportunity for funding

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district or for each geographic region. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Geographic Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	<i>High</i>		<i>10</i>
A2 Gully Control	<i>Medium</i>		<i>5</i>
B1 Water Resource	<i>High</i>		<i>8</i>
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	<i>Medium</i>		<i>4</i>
C Wildlife Habitat	<i>Medium</i>		<i>5</i>
D Air Quality	<i>Low</i>		<i>2</i>
E Impaired Water	<i>Medium</i>		<i>5</i>
F Distance	<i>Medium</i>		<i>5</i>
G Grazing System	<i>Low</i>		<i>2</i>
H Forest Mgt.	<i>Low</i>		<i>1</i>
Additional Local*			

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored.

Not Applicable

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district..
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date