

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ Cass \_\_\_\_\_ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

water erosion- surface runoff, erosion control, wastewater, forestry, pasture management

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Pine River watershed reduce phosphorus loading. Gull lake watershed reduce sedimentation.

Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	2	8
A2 Gully Control	8	2
B1 Water Resource	1	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	4	7
C Habitat Improvement	6	5
D Air Quality	10	1
E Impaired Water	9	1
F Distance	3	6
G Grazing System	7	7
H Forest Mgt.	5	8
Additional Local*	6	1

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. Land within the pine river and gull lake watershed will receive additional 6 pts. All practices eligible if within the watershed.

3. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
4. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document      None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Dave Harder, SWCD Board

2/27/2004

Chair, Local Work Group

Date