

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Washington Conservation

District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

**The resources concerns that can be address through EQIP are listed below.**

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

**No specific geographic area within the Washington County District will receive priority.**

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	8
A2 Gully Control	High	8
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Low	1
C Habitat Improvement	Medium	6
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Medium	3
F Distance	Low	1
G Grazing System	Low	1
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	None	None

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.

**Document is attached.**

5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document.

**None of the practices are recommended for deletion from the docket.**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

George E. Montgomery, Jr.  
Chair, Local Work Group

Date: 2-26-04