

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Wadena Soil and Water Conservation District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality, Ag waste, Forestry, Soil Erosion (Wind and Water), Wildlife Habitat, and Grazing Systems

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority

None

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10: **The LWG assigned a number with 10 being the highest priority to the natural resource concerns. The factor number is multiplied by the local priority weighted number (1 to 10, with 10 being the highest). The resulting number is the Local Point Total.**

| Factor | Resource Priority | Local Priority Weight |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| A1 Erosion Control | 8 | 8 |
| A2 Gully Control | 8 | 8 |
| B1 Water Resource | 10 | 10 |
| B2 Wastewater/CNMP | 9 | 10 |
| C Habitat Improvement | 6 | 7 |
| D Air Quality | 1 | 1 |
| E Impaired Water | 10 | 10 |
| F Distance | 1 | 3 |
| G Grazing System | 3 | 6 |
| H Forest Mgt. | 9 | 9 |
| Additional Local* | none | none |

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach is the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document **None**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP.

6/10/03

Malinda K. Dexter, Chair, Local Work Group

Date

