

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Nobles _____ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Endangered Species- Topeka Shiners Feedlot Runoff High Nutrient loading (TMDL)
Well Head Protection Gully Erosion Water Quality – Lake and Streams

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Leota twp.	Kanananzi-Little Rock (KLR) WS
HU-10170204090021	HU-10170204275054
HU-10170204090023	HU-10170204130055
HU-10170204090022	HU-10170204130046

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	High	9
B1 Water Resource	High	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Med	6
C Habitat Improvement	Med	6
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Med	5
F Distance	Med	5
G Grazing System	Low	4
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	Low	1

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

3. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
4. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date