

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_Meeker\_County\_Soil\_& Water\_\_\_\_\_ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Sheet & Rill erosion, Gully Erosion, Feedlot Runoff, Nutrient Management, Need for Critical Habitat in intensive ag areas, Lack of Forest Management, Lack of cost share for Forestry Management practices, Fencing riparian areas to exclude livestock, Wind Erosion, Feedlot odors, Energy Conservation and Anaerobic digesters, Converting cropland to hay land and pasture, Replacing Open intakes and Spreading commercial fertilizer over intakes etc.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

none

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control		8
A2 Gully Control		7
B1 Water Resource		9
B2 Wastewater/CNMP		7
C Habitat Improvement		4
D Air Quality		3
E Impaired Water		5
F Distance		4
G Grazing System		4
H Forest Mgt.		5
Additional Local*		

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

none

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date