

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

## Marshall District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

- 1-Erosion Control
- 2-Water Quality/Resource Protection

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H+	10
A2 Gully Control	M	3
B1 Water Resource	H	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	M+	6
C Habitat Improvement	L+	2
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	L	1
F Distance	L+	2
G Grazing System	M+	4
H Forest Mgt.	L	1
Additional Local*	H+	10

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. **The LWG determined that erosion, specifically wind erosion, was the number one resource concern in the Marshall District and, therefore, applications for 380 practices will score extra points under item I with a weighted multiplying factor of 10.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Tim Finseth

6/17/03

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date