

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

\_\_\_\_\_ Lyon \_\_\_\_\_ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Loss of Wetlands                      Nutrient Management  
Fecal Coliform Contamination

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Yellow Medicine Sub-Watershed branches (B1&E Factors) (07020004470, 07020004480, 07020004490) Cottonwood Lake –any minor watershed that feeds Cottonwood Lake.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2. Gully Control	Med	4
B1. Water Resource	High	8
B2. Wastewater/CNMP	Low	1
C. Habitat Improvement	Med	5
D. Air Quality	Low	3
E. Impaired Water	High	8
F. Distance	Med	7
G. Grazing System	Med	5
H. Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	High	1

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Any practice pertaining to B1 and E will receive maximum points (6). Cottonwood Lake (sub-watersheds); Yellow Medicine (sub-watershed branches)

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chair, Local Work Group

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date