

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Lincoln Soil and Water Conservation _____ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Gully control and water resource protection were high and erosion control and distance were medium.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Priority minor watersheds: Yellow Medicine – South Branch, Redwood, Lac qui Parle, Big Sioux – Spring Creek.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	Med	5
A2 Gully Control	High	10
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Low	1
C Habitat Improvement	Low	1
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Low	1
F Distance	Med	5
G Grazing System	Med	5
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	Med	5

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Priority minor watersheds: Yellow Medicine – South Branch, Redwood, Lac qui Parle, Big Sioux – Spring Creek. These areas will receive 6 pts.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group Date