

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Douglas County

District FY03

EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

**Surface Water Quality, Runoff, Erosion, Fertilizer Use, Drainage Management**

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

**None - All of County has water quality concerns.**

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

| Factor                | Resource Priority | Weight |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------|
| A1. Erosion Control   | 1                 | 10     |
| A2 Gully Control      | 4                 | 8      |
| B1 Water Resource     | 2                 | 10     |
| B2 Wastewater/CNMP    | 3                 | 10     |
| C Habitat Improvement | 5                 | 8      |
| D Air Quality         | 10                | 1      |
| E Impaired Water      | 8                 | 4      |
| F Distance            | 7                 | 8      |
| G Grazing System      | 6                 | 8      |
| H Forest Mgt.         | 9                 | 1      |
| Additional Local*     | -                 | -      |

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

**NONE**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

**Jerome Haggemiller, SWCD Manager**

**6/19/03**

Chair, Local Work Group

Date