

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Big Stone _____ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water quality, Soil erosion, Native Prairie/Habitat, Wetland restorations, Stream bank and shoreline stabilization, Nutrient Mgmt.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

None

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	2 High	9
A2 Gully Control	1 High	10
B1 Water Resource	3 High	8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	6 Low	4
C Habitat Improvement	4 Med	6
D Air Quality	9 Low	1
E Impaired Water	8 Low	1
F Distance	7 Low	2
G Grazing System	5 Low	4
H Forest Mgt.	10 Low	1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document. The LWG would like to add a practice to their docket-septic systems. They would like it to be added to the B1 section.

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date