

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

## Pool 1 Area 7 FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Animal Waste Control

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district or for each geographic region. Weight must be between 1 and 5:

Factor	Resource Priority	Geographic Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	Low		1
A2 Gully Control	Low		1
B1 Water Resource	Medium		5
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	High		10
C Wildlife Habitat	Low		1
D Air Quality	Low		1
E Impaired Water	Low		1
F Distance	High		10
G Grazing System	Low		1
H Forest Mgt.	Low		1
Additional Local*	Low		1

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored.

APPLICATION ON HEL 3 POINTS

WITHIN 300 FEET OF A RIPARIAN ZONE 3 POINTS

APPLICATION A RESULT OF A MINNESOTA MILK PRODUCER EQA ASSESSMENT OR A

NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCILS'S EQP 3 POINTS

TRIBAL LANDS APPLICATION 6 POINTS

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.

5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

---

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

## Pool 2 Area 7 FY03 EQIP

6. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Ponds, Erosion Control, Tillage Practices, Field Borders, Grazing, Cover Crops, Contouring, Trees

7. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

8. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district or for each geographic region. Weight must be between 1 and 5:

Factor	Resource Priority	Geographic Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High		10
A2 Gully Control	Medium		5
B1 Water Resource	Medium		5
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Low		1
C Wildlife Habitat	Low		1
D Air Quality	Low		1
E Impaired Water	Low		1
F Distance	Medium		5
G Grazing System	Medium		4
H Forest Mgt.	Low		2
Additional Local*	Medium		5

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored.

APPLICATION ON HEL 3 POINTS

WITHIN 300 FEET OF A RIPARIAN ZONE 3 POINTS

APPLICATION A RESULT OF A MINNESOTA MILK PRODUCER EQA ASSESSMENT OR A

NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCILS'S EQP 3 POINTS

TRIBAL LANDS APPLICATION 6 POINTS

9. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.

10. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

Remove 784 Wastewater and Feedlot Runoff control from the Area Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date