

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

EQIP General FA

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: EQIP General FA		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Sheet and Rill and /or Wind Erosion - answer only 1 of next 3	
1. SOIL EROSION - less than 3 tons/ac/yr will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and /or wind erosion	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. SOIL EROSION - 3 to 5 tons/ac/yr soil will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and/or wind erosion	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. SOIL EROSION - greater than 5 tons/ac/yr will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and/or wind erosion	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Conditioning Index	
4. SOIL EROSION - the Soil Conditioning Index changes from negative to at least 0.0 on the field	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Classic or Ephemeral Gully Erosion	
5. SOIL EROSION - structural practices Diversion (362), Grade Stabilization Structure (410), Grassed Waterway (412), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), Dam (402) or other structural practices will be installed to control ephemeral or gully erosion	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Resource Protection - answer only 1 of next 3	
6. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Nutrient management (590) will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Conservation Crop Rotation-Organic (328b), Well Decommissioning (351), Riparian Forest Buffer (391), Filter Strip (393), Pest Management on Cropland (595), Sinkhole Treatment (725) or Use Exclusion in a riparian area (472) will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Contour Buffer Strips (332), Field Border (386), Irrigation Water Management (449), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (100), or, when installed to improve water quality but not part of a complete runoff control system: Diversion (362), Roof Runoff Management (558), and Closure of Waste Impoundment (360) will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Livestock Waste - answer only 1 of next 7	

9. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing MinnFARM/FLEval rating is 1 to 10	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing MinnFARM/FLEval rating is 11 to 25	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing MinnFARM/FLEval rating is 26 to 49	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing MinnFARM/FLEval rating is greater than 49	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - waste storage will be implemented to eliminate a groundwater pollution problem where a feedlot runoff problem does not exist	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - storage or composting of manure is required ONLY to eliminate a land-spreading problem	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Animal Mortality Facility (316), Silage Leachate Abatement system, or Milkhouse Wastewater system will be implemented to address a single problem.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Livestock Waste add on	
16. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Animal Mortality Facility (316), Silage Leachate Abatement system, or Milkhouse Wastewater system will be implemented as part of a complete Wastewater and Feedlot Runoff Control system	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat - answer all that apply	
17. HABITAT CONSERVATION - Prescribed Burning (338), Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380), Stream Habitat Improvement (395), Restoration and Management of Declining Habitat (643), Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Early Successional Habitat Development (647), Wetland Restoration (657), Pond for wildlife (402) or Invasive Plant Species Pest Management (797) will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. HABITAT CONSERVATION - A wildlife practice will be implemented that benefits a threatened and endangered species according to MN eFOTG Section II.D	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. HABITAT CONSERVATION - A practice will be implemented that benefits native pollinators according to Native Habitat Development for Pollinators-Minnesota guidelines	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - answer only 1 of next 2	
20. AIR QUALITY - A practice will be implemented specifically to improve air quality	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. AIR QUALITY - A practice will be implemented to address other resource concerns, but also addresses air quality as a secondary concern	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Sensitive Water Bodies	
22. WATER QUALITY - Sensitive Water Bodies - the application is located within: -a watershed impaired by turbidity, fecal coliform, or excess nutrients -a Source Water Assessment Area -a Drinking Water Supply Management Area with medium to very high vulnerability -a very high to high Sensitivity Aquifer AND the practice will be implemented to address a water quality concern	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Distance to a Receiving Water - answer only 1 of next 7	
23. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is less than 100 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 100 to 500 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 501 to 1000 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 1001 to 2000 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is less than 100 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is 100 to 500 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is 501 to 1000 feet from a receiving water	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Grazing Practices	
30. GRAZING SYSTEMS - Prescribed Grazing (528) including Organic systems will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Forest Practices	

31. FOREST MANAGEMENT - Forest Stand Improvement (666), or Tree Planing (612) will be implemented	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
---	---

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
-----------------	-----------

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

<p>Efficiency:</p> <p>Local Issues:</p> <p>State Issues:</p> <p>National Issues:</p> <p>Final Ranking Score:</p>

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

<p>NRCS Representative:</p> <p>Signature Date:</p>	<p>Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:</p> <p>Signature Date:</p>
---	---